

## Do not blame the fitness!

Only slight impact of predicted replicative capacity for therapy response prediction





## André Altmann

Department of Computational Biology and applied Algorithmics

Max Planck Institute for Informatics

D-66123 Saarbrücken Germany

7<sup>th</sup> European HIV Drug Resistance Workshop 25-27.03.09, Stockholm, Sweden





## Outline

- 1. Prediction of RC from genotype (RT and Pro)
- 2. Validation of predicted RC (pRC)
- 3. Relevance of pRC for inferring response to ART
- 4. Conclusion





## Viral fitness

## Here: replication capacity w/o presence of drugs

 Measure number of newly assembled infectious particles within a fixed time



Only Protease and RT were studied, not the complete virus

Is viral fitness useful for predicting response to ART?





## To study effect of RC during ART

First build a model that predicts RC from genotype on datasets comprising genotype-RC pairs



WINTOONETOL IL VIATTOUOELIN	1.5
KVVGTVLVGPTPANIIGRNLM	13.0
KVIGSVLVGHTPSNIIGRNMM	88.7
KVVGTVLIGPTPVNVIGRNLM	2.5

- For this study two datasets were available
- 1. Monogram (Mark R Segal et al. Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol. 2004)
- 2. Erlangen (Hauke Walter et al.)
  - 317 and 253 samples

#### max planck institut informatik

## Training of a support vector machine (SVM) for each dataset

- 1. Linear SVM for selecting important mutations
- 2. Polynomial SVM for modeling synergetic effects between mutations
- Estimation of model performance (spearman correlation)

Leave one out cross-validation on training data



Altmann, André

Do not blame the fitness!

EHDRW 2009 Stockholm

27.03.2009



## Important mutations in the SVM models

rank	Monogram	influence	Erlangen		Erlangen	influence	Monogram
	mutation		rank		mutation		rank
1	RT M184V		19		RT Q207E		240
2	PR K43T		568		PR V82A		127
3	RT A158S		126		RT Y181C		150
4	PR Q92R		401		RT T215Y		18
5	PR I64L		886		RT K20I		49
6	PR K55R		602		PR I13V		132
7	PR E34K		483		RT E122K		
8	PR I47V		366		RT L74V		141
9	PR V32I		131		RT S162C		255
10	PR P39S		141	1	RT T39E		267



Correlation of pRC with drug resistance 2,913 sequences (RT and Pro) from EuResist Integrated Database

Resistance against 17 antiretroviral drugs was computed with geno2pheno

Continuous value of predicted Fold Change (FC) was discretized using clinical cutoffs of geno2pheno

Resistance against drugs was added: cumulative resistance score (CRS)







### max planck institut informatik

## Correlation of pRC with drug resistance

Same dataset, but single drugs

- For the Erlangen model a clear separation of drug classes is visible
  - Pro sequences of Erlangen data were highly mutated
    - 61% > 0 mutations
    - 25% > 4 mutations
    - For Monogram: 28% and 3%! (Robert W Shafer et al. AIDS Rev.2008)





max planck institut informatik Correlation of pRC with treatment experience CRS *p*=0.560 5,475 Pro and RT sequences from 3,869 patients extracted from the Monogram  $\rho$ =-0.336 **EuResist DB** predicted RC (%) ICRS and pRC computed for all samples anden Correlated to number of treatment 8 predicted RC (%) changes before genotyping 12 Patients with >19 treatment changes formed one group no. of samples 200 15 01 8 Naïve patients formed largest group

Altmann, André Do not blame th

Do not blame the fitness!

EHDRW 2009 Stockholm

27.03.2009

max planck institut informatik

## Development of pRC during treatment interruptions

162 sequences from 57 patients undergoing a treatment interruption

Sequences were obtained at end of treatment and at max. 4 time points during the break

Difference in pRC between baseline and first (n=56) or last (n=30) measure during the break





## Extraction of TCEs from the EuResist database

time	baseline	90 days	180 days	360 days	720 days	1080 days
measure		follow-up	follow-up	follow-up	follow-up	follow-up
viral load	2913	2031	2047	1457	675	333
CD4 <sup>+</sup> T-cell count	2376	1621	1613	1154	526	252

- Baseline genotype, VL, and CD4 within 90 days before treatment start
- Follow-up measures at different time points
- Correlation of treatment activity score (TAS; PSS) and pRC with measurements at different time points



max planck institut informatik



## Predicting change in VL and CD4

# Linear regression model was trained using

- 1. Predicted resistance to applied drugs
- 2. TAS
- Drug combination 3.
- Drug combination and TAS 4.

## Models were built with and without pRC as covariate

Performance was computed by 5x 5-fold cross-validation





max planck institut informatik

1080





Replication Capacity was predictable from genotype at moderate rates

- Performance might be improvable with more more genotypephenotype pairs
- The pRC models selected different important mutations

Pre-existing notions about RC were confirmed

- 1. Inverse relation with drug resistance
- 2. Relation to treatment experience
- 3. Increase of RC during treatment interruptions

 Inclusion of pRC improved performance only moderately
No significant improvement over the best method
<u>Resistance against applied antiretroviral drugs was</u> <u>dominant information for inferring response to ART</u>

Do not blame the fitness!

Thomas Lengauer

**Hendrik Weisser** 

Joachim Büch





## max planck institut informatik

Eugen Schülter

Melanie Balduin

Saleta Sierra Aragorn

Anders Sönnerborg

**Rolf Kaiser** 



Universität zu Köln

Klaus Korn

Hauke Walter

Monika Tschochner

KLINISCHE und OLEKULARE VIROLOGIE UNIVERSITÄT ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG Nationales Referenzzentrum für Retroviren

Francesca Incardona



Maurizio Zazzi





Thanks to Mark Segal for providing the Monogram dataset!

